## Eigenvectors of $\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$

## Abstract

Finding eigenstates of spin projection operator.

## Index Terms

angular momentum, operator algebra

## Contents

The straightforward way to find the eigenvectors of  $\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$  would be to use the usual method for finding eigenvalues and then the eigenvectors. Let us try to solve the problem using another method. We have  $\hat{n} = \sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{x} + \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{y} + \cos \theta \hat{z}$ . Assume we start with  $\hat{n}$  pointing along  $\hat{z}$ , so the state is  $|\hat{z}_{up}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  which is an eigenvector of the  $\vec{S}.\hat{n}$  operator with eigenvalue 1. Let us rotate the state  $|\hat{z}_{up}\rangle$  around  $\hat{y}$  by angle  $\theta$  which can be done by acting with the operator;

$$e^{-i\sigma_y\theta/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) & -\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

You can check that above equation is correct by Taylor expanding the  $e^{-i\sigma_y\theta/2}$ , or you can visualize the effect as rotating a vector around  $\hat{y}$  by angle  $\theta$  keeping in mind that this is not really a vector (spin-1 particle), but it is a spinor (spin 1/2), which is reflected by the fact that we have  $\frac{\theta}{2}$  instead of  $\theta$ . Next task is to rotate again, around the  $\hat{z}$  by angle  $\phi$  which can be done by acting with the operator;

$$e^{-i\sigma_z\phi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The composite operator becomes

$$e^{-i\sigma_{z}\phi/2}e^{-i\sigma_{y}\theta/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) & -\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})\\ \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}}\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) & -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}}\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})\\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) & e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

The eigenvectors can be recovered as

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{n}+\rangle &= e^{-i\sigma_z \phi/2} e^{-i\sigma_y \theta/2} |\hat{z}_{up}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\hat{n}-\rangle &= e^{-i\sigma_z \phi/2} e^{-i\sigma_y \theta/2} |\hat{z}_{down}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$
(4)

In order to find  $\langle \hat{n} \pm | \vec{S} | \hat{n} \pm \rangle$  we can use the above method to express  $| \hat{n} \pm \rangle$  in terms of  $| \hat{z}_{u,d} \rangle$ .

$$\langle \hat{n} \pm |\vec{S}| \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \langle \hat{z}_{u,d} | e^{i\sigma_y \theta/2} e^{i\sigma_z \phi/2} \vec{S} e^{-i\sigma_z \phi/2} e^{-i\sigma_y \theta/2} | \hat{z}_{u,d} \rangle.$$
(5)

email: serkay.olmez@seagate.com

Find the interactive HTML-document here.

To simplify the relation, we will compute the object  $e^{i\sigma_j\alpha/2}\sigma_k e^{-i\sigma_j\alpha/2}$  where we will assume  $k \neq j$  (if k = j, we can move  $\sigma_k$  through the exponentials to get  $\sigma_k$ ). Consider  $k \neq j$  case:

$$e^{i\sigma_{j}\alpha/2}\sigma_{k}e^{-i\sigma_{j}\alpha/2} = \left(I\cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) + i\sigma_{j}\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\right)\sigma_{k}\left(I\cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) - i\sigma_{k}\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\right)$$
$$= \cos\alpha\sigma_{k} - \sin\alpha\epsilon_{jkm}\sigma_{m} = \left(\cos\alpha\delta_{km} + \sin\alpha\epsilon_{kjm}\right)\sigma_{m}$$
$$\equiv R_{km}^{(j)}(\alpha)\sigma_{m}.$$
(6)

This equation is nothing but the rotation equation for the vector  $\vec{\sigma}$  around the *j*-axis. This tells us that  $\vec{\sigma}$  indeed transforms like a vector, this is why it has a vector arrow on top! Now the problem becomes easier,

$$\langle \hat{n} \pm | S_k | \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \langle \hat{z}_{u,d} | e^{i\sigma_y \theta/2} e^{i\sigma_z \phi/2} S_k e^{-i\sigma_z \phi/2} e^{-i\sigma_y \theta/2} | \hat{z}_{u,d} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \hat{z}_{u,d} | e^{i\sigma_y \theta/2} R_{km}^{(z)}(\phi) S_m e^{-i\sigma_y \theta/2} | \hat{z}_{u,d} \rangle$$

$$= R_{km}^{(z)}(\phi) R_{mn}^{(y)}(\theta) \langle \hat{z}_{u,d} | S_n | \hat{z}_{u,d} \rangle$$

$$= \pm \frac{1}{2} R_{km}^{(z)}(\phi) R_{m3}^{(y)}(\theta).$$

$$(7)$$

We need to keep in mind that  $R_{km}^{(j)}(\alpha) = \delta_{km}$  for j = k. Componentwise we get

$$\langle \hat{n} \pm | S_3 | \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} R_{3m}^{(z)}(\phi) R_{m3}^{(y)}(\theta) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta_{3m} R_{m3}^{(y)}(\theta) = \pm \frac{1}{2} R_{33}^{(y)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta, \langle \hat{n} \pm | S_2 | \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} R_{2m}^{(z)}(\phi) R_{m3}^{(y)}(\theta) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \sin \phi, \langle \hat{n} \pm | S_1 | \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} R_{1m}^{(z)}(\phi) R_{m3}^{(y)}(\theta) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \cos \phi.$$

$$(8)$$

And these results can be combined into  $\langle \hat{n} \pm | \vec{S} | \hat{n} \pm \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}$  As one can argue, this is not the fastest method to solve the problem, however it provides insights to  $\sigma$ - matrices and shows why they deserve the arrow on top. This comes from the fact that structure constants ( $\epsilon_{ijk}$ ) in the fundamental representation of SU(2) group (the group of  $2 \times 2$  matrices generated by  $\sigma$ -matrices), become the generators of the adjoint representation, i.e., the usual vector space.